UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIA	۱L
	v. Julian Perez-Lopez	Case No. 1:09-cr-00238-RHB	
	Defendant Defendant	Case No. 1.09-CI-00230-RIID	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform efendant be detained pending trial.	n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts i	require
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 1 a federal offense a state or local offense that existed – that is	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convict would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	S(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)((B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ter	n years or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been of U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses describe local offenses.	ed in 18
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	/es:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or o a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.		ral, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from pris	on for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpti person or the community. I further find that defendant has		of another
	Alternative F	indings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	as committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t		conditions
	Alternative F		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger to		
	Part II – Statement of the	_	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the de a preponderance of the evidence that:	etention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convin	cing
2. Defer	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contes adant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not adant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to th	be released in any case.	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 21, 2015	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Ca	armody
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmo	odv. U.S. Magistrate Judge